Synthesis of (+) 8-O- Cinnamyl- p-chlorogoniotriol and its Analogues

Hong CHEN, Long En ZHOU, Yan Jun ZHANG, De Quan YU^{*}

Institute of Meteria Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050

Abstract: (+) 8-O-Cinnamyl-*p*-chlorogoniotriol (*p*-chlorohowiinol A) and its analogues have been synthesized in nine steps from α -D-glucoheptonic- γ -lactone. Pharmacological tests showed that most of the compounds possessed antitumor activities toward tumor cell *in vitro*.

Keywords: Stereoselective synthesis, (+) 8-O-cinnamyl-*p*-chlorogoniotriol (*p*-chlorohowiinol A), antitumor activity, analogues .

Howiinol A 1^1 , a novel lactone isolated from the ethanolic extracts of the root and stem bark of *Goniothamus howii* Merr. (Annoaceae) in our laboratory, has been shown to possess significant antitumor activities toward human tumor *in vitro* and *in vivo* and low toxicity. Recently, we have synthesized **1** and its derivatives with different ester groups



starting from commercially available α -D-glucoheptonic - γ -lactone. In order to find their relationship of structure and activity and to search for drugs with more potent antitumor activity, we have synthesized (+) 8-O-cinnamyl-*p*-chlorogoniotriol (*p*-Chlorohowiinol A) and its analogues. The route is depicted in the **scheme**. In our previous work lactone **3** was transformed into **4** in a yield of 71.3%, by 3 steps². The carbonyl compound **4** reacted immediately with p-Cl-C₆H₄MgBr (Grignard reaction was initiated with I₂ under N₂, refluxed in THF for 5 h to obtain *p*-Cl-C₆H₄MgBr), giving **5** and **6** (6 α -isomer of **5**) in an overall of yield 32.4% (**5**, 18.5%)³. Both isomers were difficult to separate. The mixture without further separation was oxidized by sodium periodate followed immediately by Wittig alkenation furnishing the stereoselective products, which were induced to lactonize by catalytic amount of 1.8-diazabicydo-[5.4.0] undec-7-ene-(DBU) in THF at 70~80°C providing the pyrone **7** in 63.8% yield (calculated from **5**), m.p.

203~4°C, $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ 121.9 (0.11 AcOEt) and **8** (8 α -isomer of **7**) in 51.3% yield (calculated





Reagents and conditions:

i. 3 steps: Me₂CO, H₂SO₄; 65% AcOH; NaIO₄.

ii. *P*-Cl-PhMgX, pure N₂, I₂, reflux.

iii. 3 steps: NaIO₄, MeOH-H₂O, room temp., 18 h; ph₃p=CHCO₂Et, -15[°]C, 3 h; cat. DBU; THF, 70~80[°]C, 24 h.

iv and vi. acid chloride, DMAP, $Et_3N,\,CH_2Cl_2$ room temp..

v and vii. 75% aq. AcOH, 80-90 $^\circ\!\!\mathbb{C}$, 3 h.

from 6), mp. 197~198°C, $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ -59.1 (C 0.11 AcOEt). Both compounds were easily separated by silica gel chromatography (Rf:7 0.23, 8 0.32, ethyl acetate: petroleum ether, 1:1). The esterification of 7 with cinnamyl chloride gave the ester 9_a in 86.9% yield, m.p. 224~226°C, $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ 111.6 (C 0.10 AcOEt). Acid hydrolysis of the

450

Comd	IR (KBr, cm^{-1})	EI-MS (m/z, %)	¹ HNMR (δ ppm, CDCl ₃)
7	3446, 1708	$311 (M^++2-Me,$	1.32 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.33 (3H, s, CH ₃), 3.95 (1H, dd,
		1), 309 (M ⁺ -Me,	J=1.8, 8.7Hz, 7-H), 4,34 (1H, dd, J=1.8, 6.3Hz, 5-H),
		3), 97 (100)	4.50 (1H, t, J=1.8Hz, 6-H), 5.11 (1H, d, J=8.7Hz, 8-
			H), 6.23 (1H, d, J=9.6Hz, 3-H), 6.90 (1H, dd, J=6.3,
			9.6Hz, 4-H), 7.24~7.49 (4H, m, ph)
8	3496, 1714	$311 (M^++2-Me,$	1.52 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.56 (3H, s, CH ₃), 3.62 (1H, t,
		1), $309 (M^+ - Me)$,	J=1.8Hz, 6-H), 3.85 (1H, dd, J=1.8, 8.7Hz, 7-H),
		3), 97 (100)	4.19 (1H, dd, J=2.1, 6.0Hz, 5-H), 5.14 (1H, d,
			J=9.0Hz, 8-H), 6.18 (1H, d, J=9.6Hz, 3-H), 6.80
			(1H. dd, J=5.7, 9.6Hz, 4-H), 7.35 (2H, dd, J=1.5,
			6.0Hz, ph), 7.46 (2H, dd, <i>J</i> =1.5, 6.0Hz, ph)
9 _a	1730, 1737	$441(M^{+}+2-Me,$	1.33 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.36 (3H, s, CH ₃), 4.29 (1H, dd,
		0.2), 439 (M ⁺	J=1.5. 9.3Hz, 7-H) 4.42 (2H, m, 5-H, 6-H), 6.11 (1H,
		Me, 0.6), 131	d, J=9.3Hz, 8-H), 6.26 (1H, d, J=9.6Hz, 3-H), 6.65
		(100)	(1H, d, <i>J</i> =15.9Hz, 2'-H), 6.89 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =6.0, 9.6Hz,
			4-H), 7.26~7.60 (9H, m, ph), 7.69 (1H, d, J=15.9Hz,
			3'-Н)
9 _b	1730, 1716	$405 (M^++2-Me,$	1.32 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.36 (3H, s, CH ₃), $4.35 \sim 4.40$
		0.2), 403 (M ⁺ -	(3H, m, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H), 6.18 (1H, d, <i>J</i> =9.3Hz, 8-H),
		Me, 0.6), 95 (100)	6.24 (1H, J=9.6Hz, 3-H), 6.50 (1H, dd, J=1.8, 3.3Hz,
			4° -H), 6.88 (1H, dd, J=5.4, 9.9HZ, 4-H), 7.21 (1H, d,
			$J=3.5HZ$, $5 -H$, $7.55 (2H, d, J=/HZ, pn)$, $7.41 (2H, d, L=7.0Hz, ph)$, $7.54 (1H, d, 7.0Hz, 5^2 H)$
0	1730 1710	$445 (M^{+}) 2 - M_{P}$	J = 7.0 mz, pil, 7.34 (1 m, u, 7.0 mz, 5 - m) 1.33 (3H s CH) 1.37 (3H s CH) 3.85 (3H s
⁹ c	1750, 1710	445 (M + 2 Me) 3) $443 (M^+ - Me)$	(1.55 (511, 3, C13), 1.57 (511, 3, C13), 5.65 (511, 3, C13), 1.57 (511, 3, C13), 5.65 (511, 3, C13), 1.57 (511, 3, C13), 5.65 (511, 5, C13), 5.65 (511, 5, C13), 5.6
		9) $135(100)$	(1H d I - 9.0Hz 8-H) 6.32 (1H d I - 9.0Hz 3-H)
), 155 (100)	$6.85 \sim 6.92$ (3H m 4-H nh) $7.28 \sim 7.41$ (4H m
			nh) 7.98 (2H dd $I=2.1.6$ 9Hz nh)
10.	1732, 1710	441 (M^++2-Me .	1.48 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.58 (3H, s, CH ₃), 3.52 (1H, t,
- • a	,	0.2), 439 (M^+ -	J=2.1Hz, 6-H), 4.23 (1H, dd, $J=1.8$, 6.0Hz, 5-H),
		Me, 0.6),	4.27 (1H, dd, J=1.5, 9.0Hz, 7-H), 6.16 (1H, d,
		131(100)	J=9.6Hz, 3-H), 6.25 (1H, d, J=9.3Hz, 8-H), 6.46
			(1H, d, J=15.9Hz, 2'-H), 6.82 (1H,dd, J=1.6, 9.3Hz,
			3-H), $7.33 \sim 7.65$ (9H, m, ph), 7.73 (1H, d,
			<i>J</i> =15.9Hz, 3'-H)
10 _b	1732, 1716	405 ($M^++2 - Me$,	1.45 (3H, s, CH ₃), 1.57 (3H, s, CH ₃), 3.55 (1H, t,
		0.2), 403 $(M^+ -$	J=1.8Hz, 6-H), 4.24 (1H, dd, J=1.5, 6.0Hz, 5-H),
		Me, 0.6), 95 (100)	4.32 (1H, dd, <i>J</i> =1.8, 9.0Hz, 7-H), 6.16 (1H, d,
			J=9.0Hz, 8-H), 6.34 (1H, d, $J=9.9$ Hz, 3-H), 6.50
			$(1H, dd, J=1.8, 3.3HZ, 4^{\circ}-H), 6.78 (1H, dd, J=6.0, 0.2H, 4.H), 7.10 (1H, 1, 1, 2H, 2H), 7.25 7.57$
			9.3HZ, 4-H), 7.19 (1H, d, $J=3HZ$, 3 -H), 7.25~7.57
11	1720 1715	206 (M ⁺ -H O	(3H, H, ph, 3 - H) 4.06(1H + L-27, 6H) $4.22(1H + d - 15, 60H)$
11 _a	1750, 1715	1) 131 (100)	4.00 (11, 1, $J=2.7$, 0-1), 4.52 (11, dd, $J=1.5$, 0.012, 5 H) $A/6$ (1H dd $J=3.6$, 6 0H ₂ , 7 H) 6.06 (1H d
		1), 131 (100)	$J = 0.6H_7$ (111, dd, $J = 5.0, 0.5HZ, 7 = 11), 0.00 (111, d, J = 0.6H_7, 3 = H) 6.27 (1H d J = 6.6H_7, 8 = H) 6.55$
			(1H d I - 15 9 Hz 3' - H) 6 94 (1H dd I - 60 9 6 Hz)
			4-H) $7.32 \sim 7.51$ (9H m nh) 7.65 (1H d
			J=15.9Hz, 3'H)
11.	3440,1712	378 (M ⁺ , 1), 361	4.10 (1H. t. $J=1.8$ Hz. 6-H). 4.34 (1H. dd. $J=1.8$
0		$(M^++1-H_2O, 6).$	6.0Hz, 5-H), 4.52 (1H, brs, 7-H), 6.08 (1H. d.
		95 (100)	J=9.3Hz, 3-H), 6.34 (1H, d, J=6.9Hz, 8-H). 6.53
		· · /	(1H, brs, 4'-H), 6.89 (1H, dd, J=6.0, 9.3Hz, 4-H),
			7.32~7.59 (6H, m, ph, 3'-H, 5'-H)

Table. IR, MS, ¹HNMR data of compounds

Hong CHEN et al.

2_{a}	3386, 1730,	396 (M ⁺ -H ₂ O,	4.40 (1H, dd, J=2.4, 6.0Hz, 5-H), 4.47 (1H, t,
	1667	1), 131 (100)	J=2.7Hz, 6-H), 4.50 (1H, dd, J=3.6, 7.5Hz, 7-H),
			6.03 (1H, d, J=7.5Hz, 8-H), 6.12 (1H, d, J=9.6Hz, 3-
			H), 6.44 (1H, d, J=15.9Hz 2'-H), 7.00 (1H, dd,
			J=4.2, 9.6Hz, 4-H), 7.31~7.54 (9H, m, ph), 7.72
			(1H, d, <i>J</i> =15.9Hz, 3'-H)
$2_{\rm b}$	3338,1716	378 (M ⁺ , 1), 361	4.42 (1H, dd, J=2.7, 6.0Hz, 5-H), 4.46 (1H, t,
		$(M^++1-H_2O, 6),$	J=2.7Hz, 6-H), 4.57 (1H, dd, J=3.6, 7.5Hz, 7-H),
		95 (100)	6.06 (1H, d, J=7.5Hz, 8-H), 6.10 (1H, d, J=9.6Hz, 3-
			H), 6.51 (1H, dd, J=1.8, 3.3Hz 4'-H), 7.99 (1H, dd,
			J=6.0, 9.6Hz, 4-H), 7.36 (2H, d, J=6.6Hz, ph), 7.40
			(1H, m, ph, 3'-H)
2c	3319,1697	401 ($M^+ + 1 -$	3.84 (3H, s, CH ₃ O), 4.39 (1H, dd, J=2.4, 5.7Hz, 5-
		H ₂ O, 0.1), 225	H), 4.49 (H, t, J=2.7Hz, m, 6-H), 4.56 (1H, dd,
		(65), 135 (100)	J=2.7, 6.6Hz, 7-H), 612 (1H, d, J=9.9Hz, 3-H), 6.20
			(1H, d, J=6.6Hz, 8-H), 6.85~6.94 (3H, m, 4-H, ph),
			7.29~7.46 (4H, m, ph), 7.95~8.02 (2H, dd, J=2.3,
			6.9Hz, ph)

acetone protecting group in compound 9_a gave the target compound 2_a , in 71.5% yield, 168~169°C, $[α]_D^{28}$ 71.2 (C 0.13 AcOEt). Compounds 2_{b-c} and 11_{a-b} were

Synthesized by the same method. The IR, MS, ¹HNMR data of (+)-8-O-cinnamylp-chloro-goniotriol (p-Chloro-howiinol A) and its analogues are given in the Table. Antitumor activities of ten compounds $2_{a\sim b}$, 7, 8, $9_{a\sim b}$, $10_{a\sim b}$ and $11_{a\sim b}$ were screened in *vitro* by MTT methods. The compounds 2_{a-b} , 7, 8 and 9_{a-b} were similar to Howiinol A in the inhibition against A2783, HCF8, Bel 7402, KB (IC₅₀ 2.22×10^{-6} mol/L~ 9.23×10^{-6} ⁶mol/L), but antitumor activities of $11_{a\sim b}$ were very low. Compounds $10_{a\sim b}$ showed to possess significant antitumor activities toward human tumor cell (IC₅₀ $0.74 \times 10^{\circ}$ 6 mol/L~1.76×10 $^{-6}$ mol/L). The antitumor activities of 10_{a-b} were slightly better than that of Howiinol A ..

Acknowledgments

The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Doctoral Foundation of State Education Commission of China. We thank the Department of Instrumental Analysis of our Institute for IR, MS, ¹HNMR and $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ measurement.

References and Notes

- 1. R. Y. Chen and D. Q. Yu, Acta Pharm. Sinica, 1998, 33(6), 453.
- 2. a) S. Y. Sun and D. Q. Yu, Acta Pharm. Sinica, 1998, 33(7), 502. b) S. Y. Sun and D. Q. Yu, Chin. Chem. Lett., 1997, 8(4), 293. c) S. Y. Sun and D. Q. Yu, Chin. Chem. Lett., 1997, 8(8), 863.
- 3.
- 4.
- H. Chen, S. Y. Sun and D. Q. Yu, *Chin. Chem. lett.*,**1998**, *9*(10), 889. selective physical data (mp $^{\circ}$ C, $[\alpha]_{D}^{28}$): **2**_b 175~176, 98.2(c 0.07); **2**_c 221~222, 75.0(c 0.1); **9**_b 228~229, 81.6(c 0.09); **9**_c 153~154,91.4(c 0.11); **10**_a 205~206, -54.1(c 0.09); **10**_b 213~214, -19.7(c 0.09); 11_a 155~156, -52.8(c 0.08); 11_b 159~160, -13.4(c 0.08).

Received 30 December 1998